75 12 1 11

combo Mergan [omergon@rij.monm]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:31 AM Michael Powell

To: Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carole Morgan 201-943-9502 Citizen

Som: To: origle Mergra (coorgan@rjes.com) vVednesday, October 20, 2004 4:51 Aivi

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carole Morgan 201-943-9502 Citizen

To:

Weanssaay, October 13, 2004-12:43 PM

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

To:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:45 FM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

To

Wednesday, October 10, 200% 12.40 Fin

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

To:

vveimiladay, elelele 13, 2007 mil 10 / m

Subject:

Michael Powell

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

To:

vision receives, exercise (0, 2004 having in

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

To:

inducate, who was not a support as to it in

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma 11109 SW Pecan Road Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason 580-284-6198 RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma

France

Carol Meore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

F.o....

Carol Moore [mc@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

# Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carol Moore [ms@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Fron::

Carol Moore [me@christiorthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

### Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Figure

Carol Davis [adavis5@jam.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

Sent:

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis **Business Owner** 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

## Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carol Davis [odavis5@jam.rr.com]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carol Davis [cdavis5@iam rr.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

فتحجج

Corol Davis [cdavis5@jam.rr.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Erorn

Carol Moore [mo@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

#### Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Eron :

Carla Fleminas [main@opplythawardministries.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 10:59 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings Evangelist Apply the Word Ministries P.O. Box 841 Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carlo Flominga Ingin Manniythawardministalos agust

Respectively, which is The work of the Asier

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings
Evangelist
Apply the Word Ministries
P.O. Box 841
Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

#### Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carla Flomings in air@gnnluthawardministrias cond

Wodnesday, et alban 10, 2004 10.00 Mis

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings
Evangelist
Apply the Word Ministries
P.O. Box 841
Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From Corla Floming Complythayordministries 2012

while between the state of the

To: Commissioner Adelstein

Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings
Evangelist
Apply the Word Ministries
P.O. Box 841
Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

**–** . . . .

Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA426@HOTMAIL.CCM]

ن ۽

Michael Cappe

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama 377 South Teri Lane Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama 949/278-6500

#### Stophania Kost

Candice Patterson [natterson2@wowway.com]

191

KAQuirin

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Candice Patterson 8063 Gage Crescent Sterling Heights, MI 48314

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Time man

Candice Patterson [natternon2@wowway.com]

131

Commissional Addition.

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Candice Patterson 8063 Gage Crescent Sterling Heights, MI 48314

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Condina Pattarean [patterang@wgwww.gr.com]

الأبأنية والمنادية

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Candice Patterson 8063 Gage Crescent Sterling Heights, MI 48314

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

•					-		-
~ .	0	3		'n	TO	Ko	
, ,			: ' 4		x 1	- 3 1 S-	

ومعارض الباداز مادمات إسعبه علاماتها كالكام المعارف أحمار

. أ العلالية العالمة

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Candice Patterson 8063 Gage Crescent Sterling Heights, MI 48314

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

#### Stanhania Kost

Candyon Mattadama takwahini many nati

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candyce McFarlane 1218 Valley Forge Dr Yukon, OK 73099

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system. I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move. Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candyce McFarlane 405-350-1725

Candyco McEaday - Claustici Converti

10:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candyce McFarlane 1218 Valley Forge Dr Yukon, OK 73099

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system. I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move. Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candyce McFarlane 405-350-1725

Stephanic Kest

Conclude File Torland Tolared Ini Consumpt

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein
Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candyce McFarlane 1218 Valley Forge Dr Yukon, OK 73099

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system. I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move. Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candyce McFarlane 405-350-1725